UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,774	05/02/2007	Alain Foucault	612.46622X00 9114		
	7590 09/10/200 FERRY, STOUT & KI	EXAMINER			
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			THERKORN, ERNEST G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/593,774		FOUCAULT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ernest G. Ti		1797				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14</u>	Lluly 2008						
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	n.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election rec	uirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
•			objected to by the E	Examiner.				
, _	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	_	l) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:	nte				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649). The claims are considered to read on each of Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) and Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649). However, if a difference exists between the claims and either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649), it would reside in optimizing the steps of either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649). It would have been obvious to optimize the steps of each of Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) and Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649) to enhance separation.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649) in view of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online

Page 3

2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7. GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 are considered to be a single reference. At best, the claims differ from either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649) in reciting varying the size. GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 discloses changing cell size allows for varying the volume the device handles. It would have been obvious to vary the size of the cell in either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649) because GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 discloses changing cell size allows for varying the volume the device handles.

The remarks that Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) does not have the recited cell dimension. However, as can be seen from Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523)'s Figures 1 and 2 cassettes 13a and 13b, i.e. cells, are at least twice as high as they are long and wide. As such, the claims read on Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523).

The remarks that Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649) does not have the recited cell dimensions. However, as can be seen from Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649)'s Figures 3 and 4 on page 644, column cartridges 7, i.e. cells, are at least twice as high as they are long and wide. As such, the claims read on Murayama (Journal of Chromatography, 239 (1982), pages 643-649).

The remarks urge that GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 do not give a reason to modify either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523). However, GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 discloses changing cell size allows for varying the volume the device handles. Since there are only a finite number of ways to modify cell size, such as increasing the width, length, or height of the cell, increasing the height of the cell is considered to be taught. It would have been obvious to increase the height of either Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) or Nunogaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,877,523) because GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 and Google Translation of the first three full paragraphs of page 5 of GEPEA Resultants 2001 Internet Article, Online 2 March 2003, pages 1-7 discloses changing cell size allows for varying the volume the device handles.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,774 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/593,774 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT September 8, 2008